



where business meets art

SAMPLE OF CONFERENCE FEEDBACK REPORT

2026


NOTES:

- The following slides illustrate select charts and data from regular post-conference reports
- Reports are based on anonymous feedback from participants via an online survey (Lime Survey platform)
- Source data available as an exported Excel file on request



EVALUATION OF CONFERENCES FOR THREE YEARS


Sample shows a 3-year evaluation of the ASBBM conference / circled KPI is the summary of favourable evaluation (good + excellent)
Three main KPIs included; other comparisons available on request





where business meets art


The following slides are extracts from the evaluation of the 19th Annual Scientific Baltic Business Management Conference 2026 (May 27-29, 2026):
<https://conference.riseba.eu/>




OVERALL CONFERENCE EVALUATION

12 questions assess the overall conference quality and different aspects: quality of organisation, pre-conference communication, venue, catering, readiness to attend next conferences and recommend the conference to others


In what capacity did you attend the conference?




Overall, how would you rate the conference?




Rate: Registration and pre-event communication




Rate: Venue and facilities




Rate: Quality of moderation




Rate: Networking opportunities



How likely are you to attend the conference again?



How likely are you to recommend this conference to a colleague?





Other factors covered by the survey include:

- Catering (coffee breaks and lunch)
- Adherence to timing and schedule
- Online / hybrid access and technical setup


EVALUATION OF THE DOCTORAL SEMINAR

Each of the seminars (2 seminars) was evaluated individually: relevance of the topic; content (how interesting the presentation was), speaker (expertise, quality, delivery), with individual comments captured. Overall doctoral day evaluation was included on top of that.



EVALUATION OF THE PLENARY SESSION

Each of the plenary session keynote speakers (9 speakers) was evaluated individually: relevance, content, and delivery.
On top of that, the quality of moderation and panel discussions (3 panels) was evaluated + suggested topics for future conferences were captured.



Summary for <i>FUTURE</i>		
What themes or speakers would you like to see at future conferences?		
Answer	6	16,67%
No answer	22	61,11%
Not completed or not displayed	8	22,22%

ID	Response
7	Digital technology and regarding AI distant learning.
10	all was good
14	How to win the global competition as a society
21	AI impact on society
30	More managerial experience
32	Best experience in managing turbulent marketplaces